

Synthesis and characterization of Biochar (Pyrogenic Carbon)

Etelvino Henrique Novotny (et al., many alii...)







Soil, Biochar and Ecosystem Services

What for?

- \succ GGE \Rightarrow Climate regulation
- Primary production (Beáta Madari)
- Water regulation and purification
- Nutrient cycling
- Residues use (bones; sewage sludge...)

For who?







300 g of biomass 2.5 L of water 150 mL H_2SO_4 0.5 mol L⁻¹

Acid Hydrolysis



What for?

Renewable and Sustainable (soil) biofuels

FP7 – DIBANET Project









Slow Pyrolysis

FP7 – DIBANET Project



























Water regulation and purification



Surface Area and Porosity

Material	Minimum	Average	Maximum	
	Specif	ic Surface Area ($m^2 g^{-1}$)	
Biochar (n=33)	0.4	31	222	
Activated Charcoal (n=33)	55	631	1287	

Kadirvelu et al., 2005; Brum et al., 2008; Nabais et al., 2008; 2010; 2011; 2013; Brewer et al., 2009; 2011; Novak et al., 2009; Carrier et al., 2010; Song and Guo, 2011; Kim et al., 2012; Anderson et al., 2013



Water regulation and purification







Primary production; Water; Nutrient cycling















N₂O emissions (complete cycle – 108d) Oryza sativa

Charcoal	Total Emission.
Mg ha ⁻¹	g N-N ₂ O m ⁻²
0	39.61 b
8	81.40 ab
16	62.41 b
32	114.40 a
F	11.34
CV (%)	37.94

Madari et al., 2010





Pore size distribution

Embrapa

Solos













Model







Chemical Functionalisation

















Charring at field scale



Retort kiln (Adapted Adam Retort)







Biomass Composition and Pyrolysis Yield

Sample		nin (%) Extrative (%)		Holocellulose (%)				
Epicarp	37	7.67	4.49	57.84				
Mesocarp	31	1.87	2.06	66.07				
		350° C	1					
	Solid (%)	Conde	ensable (%)	Volatiles (%)				
Epicarp	58.70		17.78	23.52				
Mesocarp	41.59	45.35		13.06				
450 ° C								
	Solid (%)	Conde	ensable (%)	Volatiles (%)				
Epicarp	50.15		18.04	31.81				
Mesocarp	32.64		49.74	17.62				
-								
550 ° C								
	Solid (%)	Conde	ensable (%)	Volatiles (%)				
Epicarp	44.89		28.06	27.06				
Mesocarp	30.94	94 48.52		20.54				





Biomass and char composition

Treatment	Moisture (%)	Ashes (%)	Volatiles (%)	Fixed C (%)	рН	Conductivity
Epicarp						
350° C	2.35	11.85	33.08	55.07	8.32	1.49
450° C	3.83	13.18	23.67	63.16	9.99	2.17
550° C	0.95	15.22	14.44	70.35	10.06	2.57
Mesocarp						
350° C	0.10	1.94	30.06	68.00	7.43	0.17
450° C	0.01	2.26	23.89	73.86	7.86	0.19
550° C	0.23	2.52	18.09	79.39	8.04	0.25





Biomass and char composition

TreatmentC%H%N%O%C/NH/CIn natura 47.54 5.01 1.73 45.72 32.06 1.26 $350 \degree C$ 66.30 4.24 2.30 27.16 33.63 0.77 $450 \degree C$ 72.09 3.78 2.26 21.87 37.21 0.63 $550 \degree C$ 77.78 3.29 2.21 16.73 41.06 0.51 Mesocarp tissueIn natura 44.84 5.34 0.47 49.35 111.30 1.43 $350 \degree C$ 74.94 4.06 0.50 20.50 174.86 0.65				Epicarp tissu	ie			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Treatment	C%	H%	N%	O%	C/N	H/C	O/C
350° C 66.30 4.24 2.30 27.16 33.63 0.77 450° C 72.09 3.78 2.26 21.87 37.21 0.63 550° C 77.78 3.29 2.21 16.73 41.06 0.51 Mesocarp tissue In natura 44.84 5.34 0.47 49.35 111.30 1.43 350° C 74.94 4.06 0.50 20.50 174.86 0.65	In natura	47.54	5.01	1.73	45.72	32.06	1.26	0.72
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	350° C	66.30	4.24	2.30	27.16	33.63	0.77	0.31
550 ° C 77.78 3.29 2.21 16.73 41.06 0.51 Mesocarp tissue In natura 44.84 5.34 0.47 49.35 111.30 1.43 350 ° C 74.94 4.06 0.50 20.50 174.86 0.65	450° C	72.09	3.78	2.26	21.87	37.21	0.63	0.23
Mesocarp tissue In natura 44.84 5.34 0.47 49.35 111.30 1.43 350 ° C 74.94 4.06 0.50 20.50 174.86 0.65	550° C	77.78	3.29	2.21	16.73	41.06	0.51	0.16
In natura 44.84 5.34 0.47 49.35 111.30 1.43 350 ° C 74.94 4.06 0.50 20.50 174.86 0.65				Mesocarp tiss	sue			
350 ° C 74.94 4.06 0.50 20.50 174.86 0.65	In natura	44.84	5.34	0.47	49.35	111.30	1.43	0.83
	350° C	74.94	4.06	0.50	20.50	174.86	0.65	0.21
450 °C 78.80 3.66 0.53 17.00 173.46 0.56	450° C	78.80	3.66	0.53	17.00	173.46	0.56	0.16
550°C 82.12 3.43 0.53 13.92	550° C	82.12	3.43	0.53	13.92	180.77	0.50	0.13

















Pyrogenic carbon quantification: BPCA method (Glaser)



Gas-Mass cromatography

What for? Green chemistry; For Worker, Mentheliment



- 10 more steps for sample preparation;
- More expensive;
- Time consumer;
- Pyridine.

• Difficulty to separate all BPCA

UV-High performance liquid cromatography





XXXIV congresso brasileiro de ciência do solo

28 de julho a 2 de agosto de 2013 | Costão do Santinho Resort | Florianópolis | SC

Main Collaborators

Annibal D. Pereira Netto (UFF) Antonio S. Mangrich (UFPR) Beáta E. Madari (Embrapa Rice and Beans) Caio T. Inácio (Embrapa Soils) Carlos A. Achete (INMETRO) Claudia M.B.F. Maia (Embrapa Forestry) Eduardo R. de Azêvedo (USP-São Carlos) Fabiano C. Balieiro (Embrapa Soils) Joyce R. Araujo (INMETRO) Magale K. D. Rambo (UFT) Ruben A. Estrada (UEPG) Tito J. Bonagamba (USP-São Carlos) Aline F. Rodrigues (PUC-Rio de Janeiro) Carlos F.B.V. Alho (Wageningen University) Cristiano Dela Piccolla (ESALQ) Paulo M. V. de Paiva (Embrapa Amapá/WUR) Tatiana F. Rittl (Wageningen University) Wildson V. Cerqueira (UFF)

Embra<mark>pa</mark> 🔺

Ministério da Agricultura, Pecuária e Abastecimento



Etelvino H. Novotny – Embrapa Soils etelvino.novotny@embrapa.br

Acknowledgements:

CNPq; CORDIS-FP7; CAPES; CBPF Comissão Organizadora